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Kernel releases 3.10.0 – 3.14.0
April 2013 – March 2014
8,000 lines added
3,300 lines removed
2,000 lines modified

Every day

Kernel releases 3.10.0 – 3.14.0
April 2013 – March 2014
7.43 changes per hour

Kernel releases 3.10.0 – 3.14.0
April 2013 – March 2014
9.02 changes per hour

3.10.0 release
How we stay sane

Time based releases
Incremental changes
New release every 2½ months

Kernel releases 3.10.0 – 3.14.0
“Longterm kernels”

One picked per year
Maintained for two years

3.4  3.10
USB: otg: Fix bug on remove path without transceiver

In the case where a gadget driver is removed while no transceiver was found at probe time, a bug in otg_put_transceiver() will trigger.

Signed-off-by: Robert Jarzmik <robert.jarzmik@free.fr>
Acked-by: David Brownell <dbrownell@users.sourceforge.net>
Signed-off-by: Greg Kroah-Hartman <gregkh@suse.de>

--- a/drivers/usb/otg/otg.c
+++ b/drivers/usb/otg/otg.c
@@ -43,7 +43,8 @@ EXPORT_SYMBOL(otg_get_transceiver);
    void otg_put_transceiver(struct otg_transceiver *x)
    {
       put_device(x->dev);
-      if (x)
+      if (x)
+         put_device(x->dev);
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**Kernel releases 3.10.0 – 3.14.0**

May 2012 – April 2013
Top Signed-off-by:

Greg Kroah-Hartman 7085
David S. Miller 4926
Linus Torvalds 2803
Andrew Morton 2646
Mark Brown 2582
Mauro Carvalho Chehab 2230
Daniel Vetter 1879
John Linville 1506
Rafael Wysocki 1331
H Hartley Sweeten 1325

Kernel releases 3.10.0 – 3.15.0
Who is funding this work?

1. “Amateurs” 10.4%
2. Intel 9.6%
3. Red Hat 8.3%
4. Linaro 7.1%
5. Samsung 4.6%
6. Unknown Individuals 3.8%
7. IBM 3.4%
8. Texas Instruments 3.2%
9. SuSE 2.7%
10. Consultants 2.3%

Kernel releases 3.10.0 – 3.14.0
Who is funding this work?

11. Vision Engraving  2.2%
12. Renesas  2.1%
13. Google  2.2%
14. Freescale  1.7%
15. Nvidia  1.4%
16. Huawei  1.4%
17. Oracle  1.4%
18. AMD  1.3%
19. FOSS OPFW  1.3%
20. Cisco  1.2%

Kernel releases 3.10.0 – 3.14.0
Getting involved

Run the kernel.org release on your machine
Getting involved

Documentation/HOWTO

Documentation/development-process
Getting involved

kernelnewbies.org
Getting involved

Google “write your first kernel patch”
Getting involved

kernelnewbies.org/KernelJanitors/Todo
Getting involved

Eudyptula Challenge
(little penguin)

http://eudyptula-challenge.org/
Getting involved

Linux Driver Project

drivers/staging/*/TODO
I'm going to discuss the how fast the kernel is moving, how we do it all, and how you can get involved.
This was for the 3.14 kernel release, which happened March 30, 2014.
3,107 developers
417 companies

This makes the Linux kernel the largest contributed body of software out there that we know of.

This is just the number of companies that we know about, there are more that we do not, and as the responses to our inquiries come in, this number will go up.

Have surpassed 400 companies for 2 years now.
8,000 lines added
3,300 lines removed
2,000 lines modified

Kernel releases 3.10.0 – 3.14.0
April 2013 – March 2014
8,000 lines added
3,300 lines removed
2,000 lines modified

Every day

Kernel releases 3.10.0 – 3.14.0
April 2013 – March 2014
This is 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, for a full year.

We went this fast the year before this as well, this is an amazing rate of change.

Interesting note, all of these changes are all through the whole kernel.

For example, the core kernel is only 5% of the code, and 5% of the change was to the core kernel. Drivers are 55%, and 55% was done to them, it's completely proportional all across the whole kernel.
9.02 changes per hour

3.10.0 release

This past 3.10 release was the fastest we have ever created. That number shows just how well the Linux kernel development model is working. We are growing in developers and in how fast we are developing overall.

Now this is just the patches we accepted, not all of the patches that have been submitted, lots of patches are rejected, as anyone who has ever tried to submit a patch can attest to.
How we stay sane

Time based releases
Incremental changes
New release every 2½ months

67 days to be exact, very regular experience.
How a kernel is developed.
Linus releases a stable kernel
- 2 week merge window from subsystem maintainers
  - rc1 is released
  - bugfixes only now
  - 2 weeks later, rc2
  - bugfixes and regressions
  - 2 weeks later, rc3
And so on until all major bugfixes and regressions are resolved and then the cycle starts over again.
Greg takes the stable releases from Linus, and does stable releases with them, applying only fixes that are already in Linus's tree.

Requiring fixes to be in Linus's tree first ensures that there is no divergence in the development model.

After Linus releases a new stable release, the old stable series is dropped.

With the exception of “longterm” stable releases, those are special, the stick around for much longer...
I pick one kernel release per year to maintain for longer than one release cycle. This kernel I will maintain for at least 2 years.

This means there are 2 longterm kernels being maintained at the same time.

3.4 and 3.10 are the longterm kernel releases I am maintaining.

3.4 will stop being maintained in October.

Ben Hutchings is maintaining the 3.2 kernel as a longterm kernel for the Debian project.

The LTSI project is based on the longterm kernels.
Like mentioned before, we have almost 3000 individual contributors. They all create a patch, a single change to the Linux kernel. This change could be something small, like a spelling correction, or something larger, like a whole new driver.

Every patch that is created only does one thing, and it can not break the build, complex changes to the kernel get broken up into smaller pieces.
The developers send their patch to the maintainer of the file(s) that they have modified.

We have about 700 different driver/file/subsystem maintainers
This is an example of a patch.

It came from Robert, was acked by David, the maintainer at the time of the usb on-the-go subsystem, and then signed off by by me before it was commited to the kernel tree.

The change did one thing, it checked the value of the pointer before it was dereferenced, fixing a bug that would have crashed the kernel if it had been hit.

This is also a “blame” trail, showing who changed each line in the kernel, and who agreed with that change.

If a problem is found, these are the developers that you can ask about it.

Because of this, every line in the Linux kernel can be traced back to at least two developers who are responsible for it.

This is better than any other body of code.
Developer's Certificate of Origin

(a) I created this change; or

(b) Based this on a previous work with a compatible license; or

(c) Provided to me by (a), (b), or (c) and not modified

(d) This contribution is public.

This is what “Signed-off-by:” means.
All contributions to the Linux kernel have to agree to this, and every single patch has at least one signed-off-by line, usually all have at least two.

This is also a “blame” trail, showing who changed each line in the kernel, and who agreed with that change.

If a problem is found, this is the developers that you can ask about it.

Because of this, every line in the Linux kernel can be traced back to at least two developers who are responsible for it. This is better than any other body of code.
After reviewing the code, and adding their own signed-off-by to the patch, the file/driver maintainer sends the patch to the subsystem maintainer responsible for that portion of the kernel.

We have around 150 subsystem maintainers
Linux-next gets created every night from all of the different subsystem trees and build tested on a wide range of different platforms.

We have about 150 different trees in the linux-next release.

Andrew Morton picks up patches that cross subsystems, or are missed by others, and releases his -mm kernels every few weeks. This includes the linux-next release at that time.
Every 3 months, when the merge window opens up, everything gets sent to Linus from the subsystem maintainers and Andrew Morton.

The merge window is 2 weeks long, and thousands of patches get merged in that short time.

All of the patches merged to Linus should have been in the linux-next release, but that isn't always the case for various reasons.

Linux-next can not just be sent to Linus as there are things in there that sometimes are not good enough to be merged just yet, it is up to the individual subsystem maintainer to decide what to merge.
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<td>H. Hartley Sweeten</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sachin Kamat</td>
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<td>Jingoo Han</td>
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<tr>
<td>Laurent Pinchart</td>
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<td>Alex Deucher</td>
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<td>Mark Brown</td>
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<td>555</td>
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</tbody>
</table>

Hartley – comedi
Sachin – exynos ARM platform
Jingoo – backlight / framebuffer
Laurent – video camera drivers
Alex – remote block driver
Mark – embedded sound
Hans – video for linux
Lars – sound
Al – vfs and filesystem
Wei – janitorial
Top Signed-off-by:

Greg Kroah-Hartman
David S. Miller
Linus Torvalds
Andrew Morton
Mark Brown
Mauro Carvalho Chehab
Daniel Vetter
John Linville
Rafael Wysocki
H Hartley Sweeten

Signed-off-by:

Greg — driver core, usb, staging
David — networking
Linus — everything
Andrew — everything
Mark — embedded sound
Mauro — v4l
Daniel — Intel graphics
John — wireless networking
Rafael — ACPI / power management
Hartley — comedi data acquisition
Who is funding this work?

1. “Amateurs” 10.4%
2. Intel 9.6%
3. Red Hat 8.3%
4. Linaro 7.1%
5. Samsung 4.6%
6. Unknown Individuals 3.8%
7. IBM 3.4%
8. Texas Instruments 3.2%
9. SuSE 2.7%
10. Consultants 2.3%

So you can view this as either 18% is done by non-affiliated people, or 82% is done by companies.

Now to be fair, if you show any skill in kernel development you are instantly hired.

Why this all matters: If your company relies on Linux, and it depends on the future of Linux supporting your needs, then you either trust these other companies are developing Linux in ways that will benefit you, or you need to get involved to make sure Linux works properly for your workloads and needs.
Who is funding this work?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vision Engraving</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renesas</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freescale</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nvidia</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huawei</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oracle</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMD</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOSS OPFW</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisco</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Vision Engraving (Hartley 1325 patches)

FOSS Outreach Program for Women 783
20 women interns / students
Getting involved

Run the kernel.org release on your machine
This book tells you how to build and install a kernel on your machine.

Free online
Getting involved

Documentation/HOWTO
Documentation/development-process

These documents in the kernel source directory are the best place to start if you want to understand how the development process works, and how to get involved.

The HOWTO file has links to almost everything else you ever wanted..
Getting involved

kernelnewbies.org

http://www.kernelnewbies.org
Getting involved

Google “write your first kernel patch”

This is a video of a talk I gave at FOSDEM, going through the steps, showing exactly how to create, build, and send a kernel patch.
So you know how to create a patch, but what should you do? The kernel janitors has a great list of tasks to start with in cleaning up the kernel and making easy patches to be accepted.
Getting involved

Eudyptula Challenge
(little penguin)

http://eudyptula-challenge.org/

Google “Linux kernel challenge” to find the site, if you can't remember Eudyptula.

It is a series of programming challenges, all run through email that starts out with a “Hello World” kernel module, and gets more complex from there. Over 4000 people are currently taking the challenge, and is a lot of fun if you don't know where to start out.

You need knowledge of C, but that's about it.
Getting involved

Linux Driver Project

drivers/staging/*/TODO

The staging tree also needs a lot of help, here are lists of things to do in the kernel for the drivers to be able to move out of the staging area.

Please always work off of the linux-next tree if you want to do these tasks, as sometimes they are already done by others by the time you see them in Linus's tree.
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