Kernel Locking Engineering

Daniel Vetter, Intel SATG ELCE 2023, Prague

Why?

- 10+ years of kernel maintainering in graphics
- lots of drivers, lots of locking rearchitecting
- unfortunately lots of bad examples

Also as Articles ...

- Locking Engineering Principles: https://blog.ffwll.ch/2022/07/locking-engineering.html
- Locking Engineering Hierachy: https://blog.ffwll.ch/2022/08/locking-hierarchy.html

Priorities in Locking Engineering

- 1.Make it Simple
- 2.Make it Correct
- 3.Make it Fast

Make it Correct

- design for lockdep, never against it
- avoid fancy lockdep annotations, simplify instead
- prime locking order when CONFIG_LOCKDEP
- might_lock(), might_sleep(),might_alloc(), lockdep_assert_held()

Use Correct Code

- don't invent locking/concurrency primitives
- pick the simplest possible locking design
- pick the most powerful primitive, e.g. flush_work()
 over completions/waitqueues

Make it Fast

- do you really need faster?
- real workloads, not microbenchmarks
- better architecture is better: Vulkan gpu model, io_uring, ...

Pinciple: Protect Data, not Code

- scales much better in review and testing
- no (subsystem) BKL!
- lockdep encourages protecting code
- beware antipatterns like kref put mutex()

Locking Engineering Hierarchy

Level 0: No Locking

Level 1: Big Dumb Lock

Level 2: Fine-grained Locking

Level 2.5: ... because Performance

Level 3: Lockless Tricks

Level 0: No Locking

- Pattern: Immutable State: 1. construct 2. publish
- Pattern: Single Owner: queue_work(), completion
- Pattern: Reference Counting: struct kref
- Rust excels at ownership

Level 2: Fine-grained Locking

- Pattern: Object tracking lists
- Pattern: Interrupt Handler
- Pattern: Async processing
- Pattern: Weak references
- ... becaus of performance reasons

Locking Antipattern: Object Lifetime vs Data Consistency

- ... holding a lock to keep an object alive
- kref_put_mutex() instead of kref_get_unless_zero()
- flush_work() while holding locks
- lockdep does not understand cross-release!
- therefore use most specific existing primitive

Level 3: Lockless Tricks

- Antipattern: RCU
- Antipattern: Atomics
- beware LKMM vs C++ and atomics without atomic_
- Antipattern: preempt/local_irq/bh_disable()
- local_lock as good replacement
- Antipattern: Memory Barriers

Summary/Questions

Principles:

- 1. Dumb 2. Correct 3. Fast
- Protect Data, not Code

Hierarchy

- 1. No locking
- 2. Big Dumb Lock
- 3. Fine-grained Locking
- 4. Lockless Tricks